UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,519	03/23/2007	Wolfgang Schmid	5367-238PUS	8422
27799 COHEN PON'	7590 12/05/200 FANI LIFRERMAN A	EXAMINER		
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	and the same of th				
Office Action Comme		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/579,519	SCHMID ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	2828		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	 is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing sheet(s) including the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing sheet(s) is/are withdrawing is/are withdrawing in the application is/are allowed. Claim(s) and 11-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) are subjected to bjected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the correct of the specification to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and application and specification to the specification and specification and specification and specification and specification and specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing specification is objected to be specification to the specification and specificatio	ewn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the less drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-15-06</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

10/579,519

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed May 15, 2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

No copy of references JP 07249824, DE 10108079, DE 10026734 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clayton (2002/0075935).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 11,

Figure 6 illustrates a surface emitting vertical emission region (80), an integrated pump source (40) arranged to pump the vertical emission region in the form of bundles of rays with different directions. Paragraph [0036] discloses the pump source providing pumping light to the vertical emission region to obtain single transverse mode, which implies fundamental mode.

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claim 3, 4,

Paragraph [0032] discloses the pump source including reflective mirrors (Bragg reflectors), which will form a closed resonator and implies semiconductor lasers.

Regarding claim 5, 12,

Figure 6 illustrates curved cavity end facets (44a, 44b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clayton (2002/0075935).

Figure 7 illustrates a ring laser.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to arrange the laser in different configurations as illustrated by Clayton.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2828

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spath et al (US 6,947,460).

Regarding claims 13-15,

Figure 9 illustrates a vertical emitter (20), a semiconductor pump source (140) having a ring configuration, including strip lasers (30) and at least three inner cavity facets for total internal reflection. Column 9 line s 51-54 discloses circulating modes, which implies the fundamental mode.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-10, 16, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/579,519 Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARMANDO RODRIGUE

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

AR